UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,027	07/25/2006	Ivan Poupyrev	285120US6X PCT	9459
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			HICKS, CHARLES V	
ALEAANDKIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/567,027	POUPYREV ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHARLES HICKS	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perionally reply or perionally reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ord will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on 03 February 2006 is/are	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the I	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/03/2006; 10/15/2007; 02/28/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate



Application No.

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jasso et al. (US 2004/0012560) in view of Face (US 5,781,646).

In reference to claim 1, Jasso teaches a tactile feedback apparatus (Jasso, pg. 1, par. 16),

Art Unit: 2629

comprising an interfacing element acted on by a user (Jasso, Fig. 2, switch 2; pg. 1, par. 16);

a piezo actuator arranged on said interfacing element for presenting tactile feedback to a user acting on said interfacing element (Jasso, Fig. 1, actuator 6; pg. 2, par. 28);

and a controller for driving controlling said piezo actuator, by a signal (Jasso, Fig. 1, processor/controller 9; pg. 3, par. 35).

Jasso however fails to teach said piezo actuator being of a circular-shaped multi-layered structure and having a shape changed to an upturned dome shape or to a downturned dome shape on application of voltages of opposite polarities to a plurality of layers in an upper portion of said multi-layered structure and to a plurality of layers in a lower portion of said multi-layered structure; a controller controlling the change between the upturned dome shape and the downturned dome shape.

Face discloses a piezoelectric actuator, analogous in art with that of Jasso, wherein said piezo actuator being of a circular-shaped multi-layered structure and having a shape changed to an upturned dome shape or to a downturned dome shape on application of voltages of opposite polarities to a plurality of layers in an upper portion of said multi-layered structure and to a plurality of layers in a lower portion of said multi-layered structure (Face, col. 7, II. 14-17);

Application/Control Number: 10/567,027

Art Unit: 2629

and a controller controlling the change between the upturned dome shape and the downturned dome shape (Face, col. 1, II. 11-16).

Page 4

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the piezoelectric actuator of Jasso such that said piezo actuator being of a circular-shaped multi-layered structure and having a shape changed to an upturned dome shape or to a downturned dome shape on application of voltages of opposite polarities to a plurality of layers in an upper portion of said multi-layered structure and to a plurality of layers in a lower portion of said multi-layered structure; the controller of Jasso controlling the change between the upturned dome shape and the downturned dome shape, as taught by Face.

As one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate, the suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide high deformation piezoelectric curves or bows within a three-dimensional dome shape (Face, col. 7, II. 14-17).

Claim 2 is rejected as being dependent on rejected claim 1 as discussed above and further, Jasso modified by Face teaches wherein at least one of the amplitude and the frequency in a change between said upturned dome shape and the downturned dome shape is determined depending on an inputting operation by a user mediated by said interfacing element (Jasso, pg. 2, par. 27).

Art Unit: 2629

Claim 3 is rejected as being dependent on rejected claim 1 as discussed above and further, Jasso modified by Face teaches further comprising a force sensor for detecting the force applied at the time of the operation for inputting by a user (Jasso, pg. 3, par. 35);

wherein at least one of the amplitude and the frequency in a change between said upturned dome shape and the downturned dome shape (Jasso, pg. 2, par. 27),

is determined depending on the force as detected by said force sensor (Jasso, pg. 3, par. 37).

Claim 4 is rejected as being dependent on rejected claim 1 as discussed above and further, Jasso modified by Face teaches wherein said interfacing element is a joystick operating device, a button device or a switch device (Jasso, pg. 1, par. 17-18).

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jasso et al. (US 2004/0012560) in view of Face (US 5,781,646), and further in view of Rosenberg et al. (US 6,147,674).

In reference to claim 5, Jasso teaches an interfacing element acted on by a user (Jasso, Fig. 2, switch 2; pg. 1, par. 16);

Application/Control Number: 10/567,027

Art Unit: 2629

a piezo actuator arranged on said interfacing element for presenting tactile feedback to a user acting on said interfacing element (Jasso, Fig. 1, actuator 6; pg. 2, par. 28);

Page 6

and a controller for driving controlling said piezo actuator by a signal (Jasso, Fig. 1, processor/controller 9; pg. 3, par. 35).

Jasso however fails to teach said piezo actuator being of a circular-shaped multi-layered structure and having a shape changed to an upturned dome shape or to a downturned dome shape on application of voltages of opposite polarities to a plurality of layers in an upper portion of said multi-layered structure and to a plurality of layers in a lower portion of said multi-layered structure; a controller controlling the change between the upturned dome shape and the downturned dome shape.

Face discloses a piezoelectric actuator, analogous in art with that of Jasso, wherein said piezo actuator being of a circular-shaped multi-layered structure and having a shape changed to an upturned dome shape or to a downturned dome shape on application of voltages of opposite polarities to a plurality of layers in an upper portion of said multi-layered structure and to a plurality of layers in a lower portion of said multi-layered structure (Face, col. 7, II. 14-17);

and a controller controlling the change between the upturned dome shape and the downturned dome shape (Face, col. 1, II. 11-16).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the piezoelectric actuator of Jasso such that said piezo actuator being of a circular-shaped multi-layered structure and having a shape changed to an upturned dome shape or to a downturned dome shape on application of voltages of opposite polarities to a plurality of layers in an upper portion of said multi-layered structure and to a plurality of layers in a lower portion of said multi-layered structure; the controller of Jasso controlling the change between the upturned dome shape and the downturned dome shape, as taught by Face.

As one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate, the suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide high deformation piezoelectric curves or bows within a three-dimensional dome shape (Face, col. 7, II. 14-17).

Jasso modified by Face however fails to teach a system comprising a main body part executing an application program and a user interface program and a control device mounted in separation from said main body part and adapted for controlling the state of said application program; and control by a controller being managed in keeping with the current state of said application program and the interface program.

Rosenberg discloses a force feedback interface, analogous in art with that of Jasso modified by Face, in a system comprising a main body part executing an application program and a user interface program and a control device

Art Unit: 2629

including an interfacing element acted on by a user mounted in separation from said main body part and adapted for controlling the state of said application program (Rosenberg, Fig. 1; col. 2, II. 10-27);

and control of the dome shape by a controller being managed in keeping with the current state of said application program and the interface program (Rosenberg, Fig. 1; col. 2, II. 10-27).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the force feedback interface of Jasso modified by Face such that it was incorporated in a system comprising a main body part executing an application program and a user interface program and a control device mounted in separation from said main body part and adapted for controlling the state of said application program; and such that control of the dome shape by the controller of Face is managed in keeping with the current state of said application program and the interface program, as taught by Rosenberg.

As one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate, the suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been a common use for force feedback interface devices such as joysticks in video game applications (Rosenberg, col. 2, II. 10-27).

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHARLES HICKS whose telephone number is 571-270-7535. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz, can be reached on 571-272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sumati Lefkowitz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Alexander Eisen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner AU2629